



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/541,378	03/31/2000	Greg Arnold	Palm-2929	3486
7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER		
Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP			NGUYEN, THU HA T	
Two North Market Street Third Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2155	M
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PRE			
•.		Application No	Applicant(s)			
		09/541,378	ARNOLD, GREG			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155			
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. Om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	lovember 2003 .				
2a)⊠ T	his action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐ CI	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) <u></u> Cl	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Cl	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Papers	election requirement.				
9)∐ The	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.				
10)□ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	eted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
į:	f approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12) The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) [The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has been re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)		o priority under 00 0.0.0. 33 12	EU GIIU/UI TZT.			
1) Notice of 2) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trader PTO-326 (Rev. 0		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims **1-20** are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over **Markus et al.**, (hereinafter Markus) U.S. Patent No. **6,490,601**, in view of **Daswani et al.**, (hereinafter Daswani) U.S. Patent No. **6,477,565**.
- 5. As to claim 1, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a method for providing transaction processing in a palmtop computer, comprising:

providing a file server (figures 2-3);

Application/Control Number: 09/541,378

Art Unit: 2155

providing a personal information database, residing on the file server, containing personal information data relating to a user of the palmtop computer (figures 2-3, abstract, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 1-col. 8 lines 64, col. 11 lines 63-col. 13 lines 9);

providing a site map database, residing on the file server, the site map database containing data which maps fields of the personal information database to frames of known Web clippings (col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 39-col. 9 lines 18, col. 11 lines 63-col. 12 lines 36, col. 13 lines 49-col. 14 lines 29);

receiving a request from a palmtop computer to populate frames of a selected Web clipping (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 7 lines 24-62);

retrieving personal information data from the personal information database for fields mapped to the frame in the selected Web clipping in the site map database (figures 2-4, abstract, col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 40-col. 8 lines 39); and

transmitting the retrieved personal information to the palmtop computer (abstract, figures 2-4, col. 7 lines 40-col. 8 lines 64).

Markus may not explicitly disclose the palmtop computer. However, Markus clearly states that the processes presented in the invention may use with various general purpose computers (col. 18 lines 2-9, col. 20 lines 13-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made that Markus implicitly discloses a general computer equivalent to the palmtop computer as disclosed in the applicant's specification. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that Markus may uses/applies various types of

Art Unit: 2155

computers in the invention to perform the same function in substantially the same way to reach substantially the same result as a palmtop computer.

Markus does not explicitly teach providing a file server for processing wireless queries and for serving as an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and receiving a wireless request from a palmtop computer. However, Daswani teaches providing a server for processing wireless queries and for serving as an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and receiving a wireless request from a palmtop computer (abstract, figure 1, col. 3 lines 26-col. 4 lines 46, col. 6 lines 14-col. 8 lines 29, col. 14 lines 46-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Markus and Daswani to have a server for processing wireless queries and for serving as an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and receiving a wireless request from a palmtop computer because it would have an efficient communication system that provides server as an intermediate system to reduce time consuming and low latency connections for retrieving and providing Internet resources from Internet to palmtop computers.

Art Unit: 2155

- 6. As to claim 2, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, further comprising populating the frames of the selected Web clipping in the palmtop computer (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 7 lines 41-col. 8 lines 64).
- 7. As to claim 3, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, further comprising transmitting the populated frame from the palmtop computer to a Web site (col. 7 lines 63-col. 8 lines 39, col. 11 lines 63-col. 12 lines 36).
- 8. As to claim 4, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein providing the site map includes scraping a Web site to harvest frames to be populated (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 8 lines 65-col. 9 lines 18).
- 9. As to claim 5, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the personal information database is manually populated with data by the user (col. 12 lines 60-col. 13 lines 9).
- 10. As to claim 6, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the server comprises a secure server (figures 2-3).
- 11. As to claim 7, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the Web clipping correlates to a Web page on the World Wide Web (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-65).

12. As to claim 8, Markus teaches the invention substantially as claimed, further comprising mapping the palmtop computer to a user in the personal information database (figures 2-3, col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 40-col. 8 lines 39).

Page 6

13. As to claim 10, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a method for providing simplified transaction processing in a palmtop computer, comprising:

sending a request from the palmtop computer to a file server to obtain information to populate a plurality of frames of a selected Web clipping (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 7 lines 24-62);

receiving a transmission from the file server containing personal information data extracted from a personal information database, the personal information database residing on the file server, and containing personal information data relating to a user of the palmtop computer (figures 2-4, abstract, col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 40-col. 8 lines 39);

wherein, the personal information being retrieved from fields in the personal information database which have been mapped to frames in the selected Web clipping in the site map database (figures 2-3, abstract, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 1-col. 8 lines 64, col. 11 lines 63-col. 13 lines 9).

Markus may not explicitly disclose the palmtop computer. However, Markus clearly states that the processes presented in the invention may use with various general purpose computers (col. 18 lines 2-9, col. 20 lines 13-16). It would have been Art Unit: 2155

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made that **Markus** implicitly discloses a general computer equivalent to the palmtop computer as disclosed in the applicant's specification. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that **Markus** may uses/applies various types of computers in the invention to perform the same function in substantially the same way to reach substantially the same result as a palmtop computer.

Markus does not explicitly teach a file server configured to be an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and sending and receiving a wireless request from a palmtop computer. However, Daswani teaches a file server configured to be an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and sending and receiving a wireless request from a palmtop computer (abstract, figure 1, col. 3 lines 26-col. 4 lines 46, col. 6 lines 14-col. 8 lines 29, col. 14 lines 46-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Markus and Daswani to have a file server configured to be an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and sending and receiving a wireless request from a palmtop computer because it would have an efficient communication system that provides server as an intermediate system to reduce time

Art Unit: 2155

consuming and low latency connections for retrieving and providing Internet resources from Internet to palmtop computers.

- 14. As to claim 11, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, further comprising transmitting the retrieved personal information to the palmtop computer (abstract, figures 2-4, col. 7 lines 40-col. 8 lines 64).
- 15. As to claim 12, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, further comprising populating the frames of the selected Web clipping in the palmtop computer (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 7 lines 41-col. 8 lines 64).
- 16. As to claim 13, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, further comprising transmitting the populated frames of the selected Web clipping to a Web site for transaction processing (figures 2-3, col. 7 lines 63-col. 8 lines 39, col. 11 lines 63-col. 12 lines 36).
- 17. As to claim 14, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the populated frames of the selected Web clipping are transferred to the Web site through a data center which translates between Web clippings and Web pages (figures 2-3, col. 13 lines 49-col. 14 lines 29).

Art Unit: 2155

- 18. Claims 9, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Markus et al.**, (hereinafter Markus) U.S. Patent No. **6,490,601**, and **Rai et al.**, (hereinafter Rai) U.S. Patent No. **6,421,714**, further in view of **Daswani et al.**, (hereinafter Daswani) U.S. Patent No. **6,477,565**.
- 19. As to claim 9, **Markus** does not explicitly teach the receiving and transmitting are carried out over a wireless data communication network. However, **Rai** teaches the receiving and transmitting are carried out over a wireless data communication network (abstract, figures 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of **Markus and Rai** to have the receiving and transmitting are carried out over a wireless data communication network because it would have an efficient mobility communication system to enhance convenient services for a wireless Internet access system.
- 20. As to claim 15, **Markus** does not explicitly teach the invention substantially as claimed; however, **Rai** teaches wherein the sending and receiving are carried out over a wireless data communication network (abstract, figures 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to have the same motivation as set forth in claim 9 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/541,378

Art Unit: 2155

21. As to claim 16, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a palmtop computer, comprising:

sending a request from the palmtop computer to a file server to obtain information to populate a plurality of frames of a selected Web clipping (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 7 lines 24-62);

receiving a transmission from the file server containing personal information data extracted from a personal information database, the personal information database residing on the file server, and containing personal information data relating to a user of the palmtop computer (figures 2-4, abstract, col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 40-col. 8 lines 39); and

a processor which populates the frames of the selected Web clipping with the personal information data received (figures 2-3, abstract, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 5 lines 2-44, col. 7 lines 1-col. 8 lines 64, col. 11 lines 63-col. 13 lines 9).

Markus may not explicitly disclose the palmtop computer, a radio frequency transmitter and a radio receiver. However, Markus clearly states that the processes presented in the invention may use with various general purpose computers (col. 18 lines 2-9, col. 20 lines 13-16). It would have been obvious that any wireless device have to have a radio frequency transmitter and radio receiver to transmit/receive request/response data. Moreover, Rai clearly teaches a radio frequency transmitter and a radio receiver for transmit/receive request/response data to and from wireless device and server (abstract, figures 2, 14, col. 5 lines 56-col. 6 lines 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2155

invention was made to combine the teaching of **Markus and Rai** to have a palmtop computer, a radio frequency transmitter and radio receiver to transmit/receive request/response data because it would have an efficient mobility communication system to enhance convenient services for a wireless Internet access system.

Page 11

Markus does not explicitly teach a file server configured to be an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and sending a wireless request from a palmtop computer. However, Daswani teaches a file server configured to be an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and sending a wireless request from a palmtop computer (abstract, figure 1, col. 3 lines 26-col. 4 lines 46, col. 6 lines 14-col. 8 lines 29, col. 14 lines 46-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Markus and Daswani to have a file server configured to be an interface between said palmtop computer system and the Internet wherein the palmtop computer utilizes Internet resources using said file server as an intermediary and sending a wireless request from a palmtop computer because it would have an efficient communication system that provides server as an intermediate system to reduce time consuming and low latency connections for retrieving and providing Internet resources from Internet to palmtop computers.

Art Unit: 2155

22. As to claim 17, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the request comprises a label for a Web clipping containing the frames to be populated (figures 2-3, col. 3 lines 52-col. 4 lines 20, col. 7 lines 41-col. 8 lines 64).

- 23. As to claim 18, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the file server comprises a secure file server (figures 2-3).
- 24. As to claim 19, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, further comprising means for transmitting the populated Web clipping to a Web site (col. 7 lines 63-col. 8 lines 39, col. 11 lines 63-col. 12 lines 36).
- 25. As to claim 20, **Markus** teaches the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the populated Web clipping is conveyed to the Web site through a data center containing the secure file server (figures 2-3, col. 7 lines 63-col. 8 lines 39, col. 11 lines 63-col. 12 lines 36, col. 13 lines 49-col. 14 lines 29).

Conclusion

- 26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 27. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7447. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SPE Hosain T. Alam, can be reached at (703) 308-6662.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7240 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Thu Ha Nguyen

February 6, 2004

FRANTZB. JEAN